

United States Patent and Trademark Office

~ye~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,633	08/20/2001	Gnanaprakasam Pandian	CIS0076US	6410
33031 CAMPBELL S	7590 11/15/2007 TEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
11401 CENTU	RY OAKS TERRACE	JUNTIMA, NITTAYA		
BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Panilor P			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Nitarya Juntima 2616	Office Action Summary		09/933,633	PANDIAN ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entertown of time may be available under the provised of ST 6F1 *1360, in no event, nower, may a reply be timely filed. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pended will apply and will exper SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for provy which the set or cented period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pended will apply and will exper SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for provy which the set or cented period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pended will apply and will exper SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if streetly filed, may reduce any series of period to the date of the communication, even if streetly filed, may reduce any even even get series of the communication of the series of the mailing date of this communication, even if streetly filed, may reduce any even get series of the communication of the maximum statutory pended will apply and will exper series of the communication. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9,11-15,18,21 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9,11-15,18,21 and 28 is/are repeted. Claim(s) 1,1-15,8 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,1-15,8 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1,1-15,8 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1,1-15,8 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1,1-15,8			Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s). In one went, however, may a reply be limely field in the provision of 17 CFR 1.138(s). In one went, however, may a reply be limely field in the provision of 18 CFR 1.138(s). In one went, however, may a reply be limely field in the provision of 18 CFR 1.138(s). In one went, however, may a reply be limely field in the provision of 18 CFR 1.138(s). In one went, however, may a reply be limely field from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the spolication to become ABANDONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Any may by results the making date of this communication, which is provided by the provision of the provision documents have been received in this National Stage application from the Internatio							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be audiside under be provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a reply be lamby filed after SIX (s) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Flation of the may be auditionable that the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision and the provision of the provision							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2007. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-6.8.9.11-15.18.21 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)⊠ Claim(s) 1.1-15 and 26 is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1.1-15 and 26 is/are ejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MG - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply received	R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, wed by the Office later than three months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	ION. le timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9,11-15,18,21 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 11-15 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9,11-15,18,21 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,1-5 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,1-6,8,9 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠ Respo	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2007</u> .					
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1,3-6.8.9.11-15.18.21 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 11-15 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,3-6.8.9 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· <u> </u>	, _ ,					
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9,11-15,18,21 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 11-15 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•						
4) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8.9.11-15.18.21 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 11-15 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8.9 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some on one of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 11-15 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,9 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of C	Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of 5)⊠ Claim(6)⊠ Claim(7)□ Claim(the above claim(s) is/are withdraws) <u>11-15 and 26</u> is/are allowed. s) <u>1,3-6,8,9 and 21</u> is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10)⊠ The dra Applica Replac	awing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2005</u> is/are: a) and int may not request that any objection to the comment drawing sheet(s) including the correction	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	1) Notice of Refe 2) Notice of Draf 3) Information D	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	nil Date			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to the RCE filed on 10/26/2007.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-9, 11-15, 18, 21, and 26 are pending.
- 3. Claims 11-15, and 26 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-9, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
- 5. Claims 1, 9, and 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim Objections

- 6. Claims 3-5, 14, and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- in claims 3, 4, 5, line 4, and claim 6, line 1, "comprising the data" should be inserted after "message" to refer to the message in line 3 of claim 1, not the second message in line 23 of claim 1, and to make the claims more clear as both messages in lines 3 and 23 of claim 1 are received at the first network switch;
- in claim 14, lines 13 and 15, "the network switch" should be deleted to put the claim in a better form;
- in claim 15, line 2, "the step of creating the plurality of SVCs" should be inserted after "method" to make the claim more clear;
- line 5, "comprising the data" should be inserted after "message" to refer to the message in line 5 of claim 14, not the second message in lines 16-17 of claim 14, and to

Art Unit: 2616

make the claims more clear as both messages in lines 5 and 16-17 of claim 14 are received at the network switch.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-9, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, since there is no relationship between the first modified message in line 11 and the second message in line 23, it is unclear how these two messages are related to each other and why they are being claimed together. Therefore, the claim is vague and indefinite.

However, if the second message (the restart message 902 in Fig. 9B) is received at *the same interface* (i.e., the one of the plurality of the interfaces to the first network switch) as the message comprising the data (see line 3 of claim 1 which refers to the setup message) which is the original form of the first modified message, the claim should be rewritten to reflect this relationship.

In claim 9, since there is no relationship between the step of detecting a failure in line 11 and the step of generating a restart message in line 13, it is unclear how these two steps are related to each other. Therefore, the claim is vague and indefinite. However, the Office is interpreting that the restart message is generated in response to the detection of the failure. To overcome the rejection, the claim should be rewritten to reflect this relationship.

In claim 21, similar to claim 1, since there is no relationship between the first modified message in line 11 of claim 21 and the second message in line 13 of claim 21, it is unclear how these two messages are related in the claim to each other. Therefore, the claim is vague and indefinite.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nittaya Juntima whose telephone number is 571-272-3120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M - 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

09/933,633 Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nittaya Juntima November 13, 2007

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600